



Think back to the 1700s and 1800s... and ask yourself, what do you really know about American history, a history that is being edited and surreptitiously whitewashed in most modern history books. In one very real sense, the greatest enemy of the United States and Russia, has been the British Empire that at its peak was the largest empire and foremost global power in world history. But the ultimate enemy is Daniel's 4th and final beast govt which we know is roman.



Now we greatly love our British brothers and sisters in the Lord; we fully support their Brexit; which is grand defiance, modern protestants at their finest: against the roman globalists agenda. But we also want it perfectly clear:

We greatly love our Russian brothers and sisters in the Lord. Next to the United States, Russia is the second largest Christian community in the world. Since the Reformation it has had a Herodian or Jesuits target on it like all of the other non-catholic nations overrun by criminals, thieves and robbers. Like I said, we love our Russian brothers and sisters in the Lord.



The United Kingdom

Some background history: in 1600s and onward, we know King James, and Elizabeth I both true leaders who led the great British Commonwealth of Nations that was rapidly developing – these descendants of Ephraim, thus fulfilling their unique nationalistic promises first given to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob... then passed along to the second half-Egyptian son of Joseph: **Ephraim**. Any interference with **their commonwealth** expansion would be unwelcomed; and would be viewed as an enemy to the UK.

The United States of America

And in this very imperfect world, where money and greed compete to be the root of all evil; and the UK probably spawned by Jesuits already taking back their lost ground in the UK, they said that American Exceptionalism needed to be stopped – little did they know or remember that **the older half-Egyptian son of Joseph would become a great nation**; both sons: the commonwealth and the nation were given unique assignments by the Lord and would be at the center of attention in the world – **Genesis 48**. And quite honestly, if these 2 are not the commonwealth and the nation that Moses wrote about, if they do not fulfill the prophecies given to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, then whomever it will be... they better hurry, because these 2 have already done the job.



Russia,

Well, that leaves us with the third large community in 1700 – 1800s. Orthodox Russia. It was the disciples Andrew and Bartholomew who, 2000 years ago, first carried the Good News of the Resurrection of Jesus Christ to Armenia and Russia and regions beyond.



During the American Revolutionary War, it was Empress Catherine the Great of Russia, who struggled at first to remain neutral and not interfere; she sent her emissaries to assure the United Kingdom, as well as the fledgling 13 American colonies... of Russia's neutrality. But as the war years dragged on, Catherine the Great secretly would side with the newly forming America since together they could better resist the growing influence of the commonwealth of the UK.



In 1853, both Russia and America were establishing global sea routes for their merchant shipping fleets and navies, in hope to cut into some of the UK markets. Answering them, the UK started the Crimean War destabilizing Europe. After successfully blocking Russia's effort to open up global trade, the British Empire next moved against the United States leading to the Civil War in America.




But President Lincoln and Tsar Alexander II formed an alliance, and the Tsar encouraged the US to purchase Alaska which they could not do at the time with the unrest taking place in the southern states of the US, but also among the peasants of Russia – unrest being fanned by the Herodian disrupters.

In 1861, Tsar Alexander freed all the serfs in Russia; and two years later in 1863, Lincoln freed all the slaves in America – which is why history remembers them both as the two great liberators. At this same time, in what is known as the Trent Affair, we learn that the UK was preparing to attack America from both Canada and Mexico... in order to preserve the British and French commercial interests in the southern slave states; and thereby keeping the US from becoming a world power.

The Russian Tsar warned Lincoln of the insurrection going on in Boston and New York; and declaring martial law, Lincoln rapidly responded by mass-arresting the traitors, over 14,000 British confederate sympathizers. Think of all the fake news that was going on during that time.

Tsar Alexander ordered **the Russian Navy** to sail and protect Lincoln, with the Russian Baltic fleet arriving in New York harbor; and the Russian Far East fleet arriving in San Francisco... securing the harbors of both cities. Needless to say, the British were angry, and they sent agents to bribe and recruit collaborators in America to kidnap or kill the president. In March 1881, they did the same, sending agents to assassinate Tsar Alexander II.



In 1867, Tsar Alexander continued in Russia's interest and sought for President McKinley to purchase Alaska that they continue to develop the longest trade lanes across Russia connecting to Alaska and all the way to Chile in South America; as Russia did not want to be left cornered in Siberia with the UK at their backdoor.

Isn't it interesting, that President McKinley could not complete the joint trade-route dream, since he also was assassinated?

We greatly love our brothers and sisters in the Lord – be they British, or Russian; or wherever they are: North, South, East or West. Any friend of Jesus is a friend of ours. As for the greedy bastards, crooks, perverts, sociopaths, thieves and robbers in govt who promote massive numbers of missing children and their disgusting practices of abuse toward the youngest, most vulnerable; we know, what they do to the least, they do to the Lord; and He will one day settle the score.

Those who promote smashing and destroying until the whole world is broken: We will let the Lord handle them; when He does, we read the last chapter of the Book; we know it will be ugly for them.



Мы очень любим
наших русских
братьев и сестер в Господе

The following is : PRESIDENT VLADIMIR PUTIN JUNE 2019 – highly edited Excerpts from a recent interview.

Lionel Barber: Mr President, I would be very grateful if you could talk a bit about how you have seen the world change over the last 20 years while you have been in power. You have observed four American presidents at close quarters and will maybe five, you have had direct experience. So, how is Mr Trump different?

Vladimir Putin: We are all different. No two people are the same, just like there are no identical sets of fingerprints. Anyone has his or her own advantages, and let the voters judge their shortcomings. On the whole, I maintained sufficiently good-natured and stable relations with all the leaders of the United States. I had an opportunity to communicate more actively with some of them. The first US President I came into contact with was Bill Clinton. Generally, I viewed this as a positive experience. We established sufficiently stable and business-like ties for a short period of time because his tenure was already coming to an end. I was only a very young president then who had just started working. I continue to recall how he established partner-like relations with me. I remain very grateful to him for this. There have been different times, and we had to address various problems with all other colleagues. Unfortunately, this often involved debates, and our opinions did not coincide on some matters that, in my opinion, can be called key aspects for Russia, the United States and the entire world. For example, this includes the unilateral US withdrawal from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty that, as we have always believed, and as I am still convinced, was the cornerstone of the entire international security system. We debated this matter for a long time, argued and suggested various solutions. I made very energetic attempts to convince our US partners not to withdraw from the Treaty. And, if the US wanted to withdraw from the Treaty, it should have done so in a way to guarantee international security for a long historical period. I suggested this, I already discussed this in public, and I did this because I consider this matter to be very important. I suggested working jointly on missile-defense projects that involved the United States, Russia and Europe. I am convinced that the world would be a different place today, had our US partners accepted this proposal. Unfortunately, this did not happen. We can see the situation is developing another direction; new weapons and cutting-edge military technology are coming. Well, this is not our choice. But, today, we should at least do everything so as to not aggravate the situation.

Lionel Barber: Mr President, you are a student of history. You have had many hours of conversation with Henry Kissinger. You almost certainly read his book, **World Order**. With Mr Trump, we have seen something new, something much more transactional. He is very critical of alliances and allies in Europe. Is this something that is to Russia's advantage?

Vladimir Putin: It would be better to ask what would be to America's advantage in this case. Mr Trump is not a career politician. He has a distinct world outlook and vision of US national interests. I do not accept

many of his methods when it comes to addressing problems. But do you know what I think? I think that he is a talented person. He knows very well what his voters expect from him.

Russia has been accused, and is still being accused, despite the Mueller report, of mythical interference in the US election. What happened in reality? Mr Trump looked into his opponents' attitude to him and saw changes in American society, and he took advantage of this. You and I are talking ahead of the G20 meeting. It is an economic forum, and it will undoubtedly have discussions on globalization, global trade and international finance. Has anyone ever given a thought to who actually benefited and what benefits were gained from globalization, the development of which we have been observing and participating in over the past 25 years, since the 1990s?

China has made use of globalization, in particular, to pull millions of Chinese out of poverty. In the United States, the leading US companies, their managers, shareholders and partners – made use of these benefits. The middle class in the United States has not benefited from globalization; it was left out when this pie was divided up. The Trump team sensed this very keenly and clearly, and they used this in the election campaign. It is where you should look for reasons behind Trump's victory, rather than in any alleged foreign interference. I believe this may explain his seemingly extravagant economic decisions and even his relations with his partners and allies. He believes that the distribution of resources and benefits of globalization in the past decade was unfair to the United States.

I am not going to discuss whether it was fair or not, and I will not say if what he is doing is right or wrong. I would like to understand his motives, which is what you asked me about.

Lionel Barber: I definitely want to come back to the Russian economy. But what you said is absolutely fascinating. Here you are, the President of Russia, defending globalization along with President Xi whereas Mr Trump is attacking globalization and talking about America First. How do you explain this paradox?

Vladimir Putin: I don't think that his desire to make America first is a paradox. I want Russia to be first, and that is not perceived as a paradox; there is nothing unusual there. Therefore, we are moving in line with our mainstream bilateral agenda that was formulated as far back as 2001, but we quickly respond to global developments. We never direct our bilateral relations against anyone. We are not against anyone; we are for ourselves.

Lionel Barber: Mr President, you are familiar with Graham Allison's book, The Thucydides' Trap. The danger of tensions or military conflict between a dominant power and a rising power, America and China. Do you think there is a risk of a military conflict in your time between you, America and China?

Vladimir Putin: You know, the entire history of mankind has always been full of military conflicts, but since the appearance of nuclear weapons the risk of global conflicts has decreased due to the potential global tragic consequences for the entire population of the planet in case such a conflict happens.

Lionel Barber: Arms control. We know that the INF agreement is in grave jeopardy. Is there any place, from Russia's point of view, for future arms control agreements or are we in a new phase when we are likely to see a new nuclear arms race?

Vladimir Putin: I believe there is such a risk. As I said, the United States unilaterally withdrew from the ABM Treaty, and quit the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty as well. But this time, it did not just quit but found a reason to quit, and this reason was Russia. I do not think Russia means anything to them in this case, because this war theatre in Europe is unlikely to be interesting to the US, despite the expansion of NATO and NATO's contingent near our borders. The fact remains, the US withdrew from the treaty. Now the agenda is focused on the Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (New START). I hope to talk about it with Donald if we happen to meet in Osaka. We said we are ready to hold talks and to extend this treaty between the United States and Russia, but have not seen any relevant initiative from our American partners. They keep silent, while the treaty expires in 2021. If we do not begin talks now, it would be over because there would be no time even for formalities. Our previous conversation with Donald showed that the Americans seem to be interested in this, but still they are not making any practical steps.

So if this treaty ceases to exist, then there would be no instrument in the world to curtail the arms race. And this is bad, you can ask our Chinese friends.

Lionel Barber: Russia is a Pacific power as well as a European and Asian power. It is a Pacific power. You have seen what the Chinese are doing in terms of their buildup of their Navy and their maritime strength. How do you deal with those potential security problems, territorial disputes in the Pacific? Does Russia have a role to play in a new security arrangement?

Vladimir Putin: You mentioned the build-up of naval forces in China. China's total defense spending is \$117 billion, if memory serves. The US defense spending is over \$700 billion. And you are trying to scare the world with the build-up of China's military might? It does not work with this scale of military spending. No, it does not. As for Russia, we will continue to develop our Pacific Fleet as planned. Of course, we also respond to global developments and to what happens in relations between other countries. We can see all of this, but it does not affect our defense development plans, including those in the Russian Far East. We are self-sufficient and confident. Russia is the largest continental power. But we have a nuclear submarine base in the Far East, where we are developing our defense potential in accordance with our plans, including so that we can ensure safety on the Northern Sea Route, which we are planning to develop. We intend to attract many partners to this effort, including our Chinese partners. We may even reach an agreement with American shippers and with India, which also indicated its interest in the Northern Sea Route.

The tragedies of Libya and Iraq have inspired many countries to ensure their security at all costs. What we should be talking about is not how to make North Korea disarm, but how to ensure the unconditional security of North Korea and how to make any country, including North Korea feel safe and protected by international law that is strictly honored. We have established sufficiently good business-like relations with all regional countries, and our

positions in the Middle East region have become more stable. Indeed, we have largely allied relations with many regional countries, including Iran, Turkey and other countries. Primarily, this concerns Syria, we have managed to preserve Syrian statehood, no matter what, and we have prevented Libya-style chaos there. And a worst-case scenario would spell out negative consequences for Russia. Besides, I would like to openly speak of the mobilization of the Russian Armed Forces. Our Armed Forces have received such practical experience that they could not have obtained during any peace-time exercises.

Lionel Barber: Are you committed to Mr. al-Assad remaining in power or can we see, at some point, the transition in Syria that Russia would support, which would not be Libya?

Vladimir Putin: I believe that the Syrian people should be free to choose their own future. At the same time, I would like the actions of external players to be substantiated and, just as in the case of the risks you have mentioned, predictable and understandable, so that we can consider at least our next moves. When we discussed this matter only recently with the previous US administration, we said, suppose Assad steps down today, what will happen tomorrow? Your colleague did well to laugh, because the answer we got was very amusing. You cannot even imagine how funny it was. They said, "We don't know." But when you do not know what happens tomorrow, why shoot from the hip today? This may sound primitive, but this is how it is. Therefore, we prefer to look at problems thoroughly from all possible angles and not to be in any hurry.

Lionel Barber: Mr President, does that same argument apply to Venezuela? In other words, you are not prepared to see a transition in Venezuela and you are absolutely committed to President Maduro.

Vladimir Putin: Oh, and it seemed we had started so well. Please do not take offence to what I am going to say. You won't, will you? We were off to such a terrific start, talking seriously, and now you have moved back to the stereotype views on Russia. We have nothing to do with what is happening in Venezuela, if you know what I mean.

Lionel Barber: What are those advisors doing then in Caracas?

Vladimir Putin: I will say this now, if you just let me finish. Back under [President] Chavez we sold weapons to Venezuela, without any limits and problems. We did this absolutely legally just as it is done all around the world and as every country does, including the United States, the UK, China and France. We sold weapons to Venezuela. We signed contracts, which say what we have to do when it comes to servicing this military equipment, that we must train local specialists, ensure that this equipment is maintained in combat readiness, and so on. We provide maintenance services for this equipment. I said this many times, including to our American partners: there are no Russian troops there. Yes, there are Russian specialists and instructors there. Yes, they are working there. Only recently, a group of our advisers and specialists left the country. But they can return. And where did we plan to deliver Venezuelan oil while investing in the oil sector? As you know, Venezuela has unique oil that is mostly delivered to US refineries. What is so bad about that? We wanted the Venezuelan oil and gas sector to operate steadily, and make deliveries to those US refineries. I do not understand what is wrong with

this. First, they faced economic problems, followed by domestic political problems. Let them sort things out by themselves. But when a person enters a square, raises his eyes to the sky and proclaims himself president? Let us do the same in Japan, the United States or Germany. It is impossible to disagree with this. There will be pure chaos. How could they act like this? But no, they started supporting that person from the very outset. He may be a very good person. He may be just wonderful. But is it enough that he entered a square and proclaimed himself president? Is the entire world supposed to support him as president? We should tell him to take part in elections and win them, and then we would work with him as the state leader.

Lionel Barber: Let me just ask this and I really want to talk about the Russian economy. Do you believe that what happened in Salisbury sent an unambiguous message to anyone who is thinking of betraying the Russian state that it is fair game?

Vladimir Putin: As a matter of fact, treason is the gravest crime possible and traitors must be punished. I am not saying that the Salisbury incident is the way to do it. Not at all. But traitors must be punished. This gentleman, Skripal, had already been punished. He was arrested, sentenced and then served time in prison. He received his punishment. Why would anybody be interested in him? He got punished. He was detained, arrested, sentenced and then spent five years in prison. As concerns treason, of course, it must be punishable. It is the most despicable crime that one can imagine.

Lionel Barber: Again a big picture question. I talked at the beginning of our conversation about fragmentation. Another phenomenon today is that there is a popular backlash against elites and against the establishment and you have seen that – Brexit in Britain. Perhaps you were speaking about Trump's America. You have seen it with the AfD in Germany; you have seen it in Turkey; and you have seen it in the Arab world. How long do you think that Russia can remain immune to this global movement of backlash against the establishment?

Vladimir Putin: You should look at the realities in each particular case. How long will Russia remain a stable country? The longer the better. Because many other things and its position in the world depend on internal political stability. Ultimately, the wellbeing of the people depends on stability. The internal reason for the Soviet Union's collapse was that life was difficult for the people, whose take-home wages were very small. The shops were empty, and the people lost the intrinsic desire to preserve the state. They thought it could not get worse no matter what happened. It turned out that life became worse for many, especially at the beginning of the 1990s when social protection and healthcare systems collapsed and industry was crumbling. But at least people had jobs. After the collapse, they lost them. Therefore, you should look at each particular case separately.

What is happening in the West? What is the reason for the Trump phenomenon in the United States? What is happening in Europe as well? The ruling elites have broken away from the people. The obvious problem is the gap between the interests of the elites and the overwhelming majority of the people. Of course, we must always bear this in mind. One thing we must do in Russia is never forget that the purpose of the operation and existence of government is to create a stable, normal, safe and predictable life for the people and to work towards a better future.

There is also the so-called liberal idea, which has outlived its purpose. Our Western partners admit that some elements of the liberal idea, such as multiculturalism, are no longer tenable. When the migration problem came to a head, many admitted that the policy of multiculturalism is not effective and that the interests of the core population should be considered; although those who have run into difficulties because of political problems in their home countries need our assistance as well. That is great, but what about the interests of their own population when the number of migrants heading to Western Europe is not just a handful but hundreds of thousands?

Lionel Barber: Did Angela Merkel make a mistake?

Vladimir Putin: Cardinal mistake. One can criticize Trump for his intention to build a wall between Mexico and the United States. It could be going too far. I am not arguing about this point. But he had to do something about the huge inflow of migrants and narcotics. Nobody is doing anything. They say this is bad and that is bad as well. Tell me, what is good then? What should be done? Nobody has proposed anything. I do not mean that a wall must be built or tariffs raised by 5 percent annually in the economic relations with Mexico. This is not what I am saying, yet something must be done. He is at least looking for a solution. Those ordinary Americans who are concerned about this, they look at this and say, Good for him, at least he is doing something, suggesting ideas and looking for a solution. As for the liberal idea, its proponents are not doing anything. They say all is well, But is it? They sit in their cozy offices, while those who face the problem every day in Texas or Florida will soon have problems of their own. Does anyone think about them? The same is happening in Europe. I discussed this with many colleagues, but nobody has the answer. They say they cannot pursue a hardline policy for various reasons. Why exactly? Because we have a law, they say. Well, change the law! We have a few problems of our own as well. We have open borders with former Soviet republics, but their people at least speak Russian. And besides, we in Russia have taken steps to streamline the situation in this sphere working in the countries from which migrants come, teaching Russian at their schools, also working with them here.

We toughened legislation to show that migrants must respect the laws, customs and culture of the country. The situation is not simple in Russia, but we started working to improve it.

Whereas the liberal idea presupposes that nothing needs to be done. The migrants can kill, plunder and rape with impunity because their rights as migrants must be protected. What rights are these? Every crime must have its punishment. So, the liberal idea has become obsolete. It has come into conflict with the interests of the overwhelming majority of the population. Or take the traditional values. I am not trying to insult anyone, because we have been condemned for our alleged homophobia as it is. But we have no problems with LGBT persons. God forbid, let them live as they wish. But some things do appear excessive to us. They claim now that children can play five or six gender roles. I cannot even say exactly what genders these are, I have no notion. Let everyone be happy. But this must not overshadow the culture, traditions and traditional family values of millions of people making up the core population.

Lionel Barber: Does that include – this is very important, like you say – the end of this liberal idea, because – what else did you say – uncontrolled immigration, open borders, definitely diversity as an organizing principle in society? What else do you think is just finished in terms of the liberal idea? And would you say – that religion therefore must play an important role in terms of national culture and cohesiveness?

Vladimir Putin: It should play its current role. [religion] cannot be pushed out of this cultural space. We should not abuse anything. Russia is an Orthodox Christian nation, and there have always been problems between Orthodox Christianity and the Catholic world. This is exactly why I will now say a few words about Catholics. Are there any problems there? Yes, there are, but they cannot be over-exaggerated and used for destroying the Roman Catholic Church itself. This is what cannot be done. Sometimes, I get the feeling that these liberal circles are beginning to use certain elements and problems of the Catholic Church as a tool for destroying the Church itself. This is what I consider to be incorrect and dangerous. Have we forgotten that all of us live in a world based on Biblical values? Even atheists and everyone else live in this world. We do not have to think about this every day, attend church and pray, thereby showing that we are devout Christians or Muslims or Jews. However, deep inside, there must be some fundamental human rules and moral values. In this sense, traditional values are more stable and more important for millions of people than this liberal idea, which, in my opinion, is really ceasing to exist.

Lionel Barber: So religion is not the opium of the masses?

Vladimir Putin: No, it is not. But I get the impression that you are detached from religion because it is already 12.45 am Moscow Time, and you continue to torture me. As we say here, there is no fear of God in you, is there? (Laughter)

Lionel Barber: You have seen many world leaders. Who do you most admire?

Vladimir Putin: Peter the Great. He had his own opinion on every issue, he knew how to defend it and he always respected his partners' opinions. In modern-day history, taking a broader view, there are many good and very interesting people.

And one last comment on Russia: if they were really our enemy, then why is it that the US Military purchases its most sophisticated helicopter engines from the Russians? [Trump Taunts Deep State Daring Them](#)

[This is My Father's World](#) | [Salvation is Your Name](#) | [JESUS, it is You](#)

OUR BROTHERS AND SISTERS AROUND THE WORLD SINGING GOD'S PRAISE: 